International Journal of Modern Physics A © World Scientific Publishing Company

GRAVITATIONAL FIELD OF A GLOBAL MONOPOLE IN A MODIFIED GRAVITY

T. R. P. CARAMÊS

Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Av. Gal. Milton Tavares de Souza, s/n Campus da Praia Vermelha - Niterói - Rio de Janeiro/ CEP 24210-346, Brazil
carames@if.uff.br

E. R. BEZERRA DE MELLO

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Cidade Universitária
João Pessoa, Paraíba/ CEP 58051-900, Brazil
emello@fisica.ufpb.br

M. E. X. GUIMARÃES

Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Av. Gal. Milton Tavares de Souza, s/n Campus da Praia Vermelha - Niterói - Rio de Janeiro/ CEP 24210-346, Brazil
emilia@if.uff.br

Received Day Month Year Revised Day Month Year

In this paper we analyze the gravitational field of a global monopole in the context of f(R) gravity. More precisely, we show that the field equations obtained are expressed in terms of $F(R) = \frac{df(R)}{dR}$. Since we are dealing with a spherically symmetric system, we assume that F(R) is a function of the radial coordinate only. Moreover, adopting the weak field approximation, we can provide all components of the metric tensor. A comparison with the corresponding results obtained in General Relativity and in the Brans-Dicke theory is also made.

Keywords: Global monopole; f(R) gravity; spherical symmetry.

PACS numbers: 04.50. + h, 04.20. - q, 14.80.Hv

1. Introduction

It is well known that several types of topological defects may have been created by the vacuum phase transitions in the early universe. 1,2 These include domain walls, cosmic strings and monopoles. Global monopoles are heavy objects formed in the phase transition of a system composed by a self-coupling triplet of scalar fields ϕ^a (a=1,2,3) whose original global O(3) symmetry is spontaneously broken to U(1). The scalar matter field plays the role of an order parameter which outside the monopole's core acquires a non-vanishing value. The spacetime associated with global monopoles is characterized by a non-trivial topology observed as a deficit

solid angle. The properties of the gravitational field produced by this exotic object have been investigated by M. Barriola and A. Vilenkin in the context of the general relativity.³ Later, the gravitational field of a global monopoles were analyzed by A. Barros and C. Romero in Ref. 4 in the Brans-Dicke theory of gravity in the context of weak field approximation.

On the other hand, the f(R) theories of gravity have been recently suggested as a possible alternative to explain the late time cosmic speed-up experienced by our universe. Such theories avoid the Ostrogradski's instability that can otherwise prove to be problematic for general higher derivatives theories. 7.8

In this work we analyse the gravitational field of the global monopole in the f(R) theories of gravity scenario, handling the field equations at the weak field regime. In order to simplify the form of the fields equations, we shall express them in terms of the function $F(R) = \frac{df(R)}{dR}$, in a similar procedure as adopted in Refs. 9 and 10. Since we are dealing with a spherically symmetric static system, we shall express F(R) as a function of the radial coordinates, r, by defining $F(R(r)) = \mathcal{F}(r)$.

2. The Global Monopole Model in General Relativity

The simplest model which gives rise to a global monopole is described by the Lagrangian density below:³

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi^a \partial^{\mu} \phi^a - \frac{1}{4} \lambda (\phi^a \phi^a - \eta^2)^2 . \tag{1}$$

Coupling this matter field with the Einstein equations, spherically symmetric solutions for the fields equations can be obtained by adopting for the line element and the matter fields the *Ansätze* below:

$$ds^{2} = B(r)dt^{2} - A(r)dr^{2} - r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2})$$
(2)

and

$$\phi^a = \eta h(r) \frac{x^a}{r} \tag{3}$$

with $x^a x^a = r^2$.

For points far from the monopole's core, the solutions for the functions B(r) and A(r) are given by

$$B = A^{-1} \approx 1 - 8\pi G \eta^2 - 2GM/r , \qquad (4)$$

being the mass parameter $M \approx M_{core}$. What concerns the matter field, we have $h(r) \approx 1$. Moreover, in Ref. 3 the authors have shown that for points outside the monopole's core, the energy-momentum tensor associated with a static global monopole can be approximated as

$$T^{\mu}_{\nu} \approx \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{\eta^2}{r^2}, \frac{\eta^2}{r^2}, 0, 0\right)$$
 (5)

3. The f(R) Gravity in the Metric Formalism

The action associated with the modified theories of gravity coupled with matter fields is given by:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R) + \mathcal{S}_m , \qquad (6)$$

where f(R) is an analytical function of the Ricci scalar, R, $\kappa = 8\pi G$ and \mathcal{S}_m corresponds to the action associated with the matter fields. By using the metric formalism, the field equations become:

$$G_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} = T^c_{\mu\nu} + \kappa \tilde{T}^m_{\mu\nu} ,$$
 (7)

in which $T^c_{\mu\nu}$ is the geometric energy-momentum tensor, namely

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{c} = \frac{1}{F(R)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \left(f(R) - F(R)R \right) + \nabla^{\alpha} \nabla^{\beta} F(R) \left(g_{\alpha\mu} g_{\beta\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} g_{\alpha\beta} \right) \right\}$$
(8)

with $F(R) \equiv \frac{df(R)}{dR}$.

The standard minimally coupled energy-momentum tensor, $T_{\mu\nu}^m$, derived from the matter action, is related to $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}^{m}$ by

$$\tilde{T}^m_{\mu\nu} = T^m_{\mu\nu}/F(R) \ . \tag{9}$$

Thus the field equations can be written as

$$F(R)R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}f(R)g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}F(R) + g_{\mu\nu}\Box F(R) = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}^{m} . \tag{10}$$

Taking the trace of the above equation we get

$$F(R)R - 2f(R) + 3\Box F(R) = \kappa T^m , \qquad (11)$$

which expresses a further scalar degree of freedom that arises in the modified theory. Through this equation it is possible to express f(R) in terms of its derivatives and the trace of the matter energy-momentum tensor as follows:

$$f(R) = \frac{1}{2} (F(R)R + 3\Box F(R) - \kappa T^m) . \tag{12}$$

Substituting the above expression into (10) we obtain

$$F(R)R_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}F(R) - \kappa \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}^{m} = \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{4} \left[F(R)R - \Box F(R) - \kappa T^{m} \right] . \tag{13}$$

From this expression we can see that the combination below

$$C_{\mu} = \frac{F(R)R_{\mu\mu} - \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}F(R) - \kappa T_{\mu\mu}^{m}}{q_{\mu\mu}} , \qquad (14)$$

with fixed indices, is independent of the corresponding index. So, the following relation

$$C_{\mu} - C_{\nu} = 0$$
 , (15)

holds for all μ and ν .

4. The Global Monopole in f(R) Theories

In this section we shall derive the field equations associated with a global monopole system in modified theories of gravity.

By adopting a spherical symmetry Anstaz for the metric tensor given in (2), the non-vanishing components of the Ricci tensor read:

$$R_0^0 = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(B')^2}{2B^2 A} + \frac{B'A'}{2BA^2} - \frac{B''}{BA} - \frac{2B'}{BAr} \right], \tag{16}$$

$$R_1^1 = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{B'A'}{2BA^2} + \frac{2A'}{rA^2} - \frac{B''}{BA} + \frac{(B')^2}{2B^2A} \right], \tag{17}$$

$$R_2^2 = -\frac{A'}{2rA^2} + \frac{B'}{2rBA} + \frac{1}{r^2A} - \frac{1}{r^2}.$$
 (18)

The spherical symmetry requires that

$$R_2^2 = R_3^3, (19)$$

which implies that the scalar curvature will be given by

$$R = \frac{2}{r^2 A} \left\{ 1 - A + \left(\frac{B'}{B} - \frac{A'}{A} \right) r - \frac{1}{4} \frac{B'}{B} r^2 \left(\frac{B'}{B} + \frac{A'}{A} \right) + \frac{1}{2} r^2 \frac{B''}{B} \right\} . \tag{20}$$

In all the above equations the primes corresponds derivative of the function with respect to the radial coordinate. By using the identity (15) along with the energy-momentum tensor given by (5), we can construct two linearly independent differential equations:

$$2r\mathcal{F}'' - r\mathcal{F}'\left(\frac{B'}{B} + \frac{A'}{A}\right) - 2\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{B'}{B} + \frac{A'}{A}\right) = 0 , \qquad (21)$$

and

$$-4B + 4AB - 4rB\frac{\mathcal{F}'}{\mathcal{F}} + 2r^2B'\frac{\mathcal{F}'}{\mathcal{F}} - r^2B'\left(\frac{B'}{B} + \frac{A'}{A}\right)$$
$$+2r^2B'' + 2Br\left(\frac{B'}{B} + \frac{A'}{A}\right) - \frac{4AB\kappa\eta^2}{\mathcal{F}} = 0,$$
 (22)

where we have expressed F(R) as $F(R(r)) = \mathcal{F}(r)$.

Defining

$$\beta \equiv \frac{B'}{B} + \frac{A'}{A} \,, \tag{23}$$

we can write the field equations as follows

$$\frac{\beta}{r} = \frac{\mathcal{F}''}{\mathcal{F}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{F}'}{\mathcal{F}} \beta , \qquad (24)$$

and

$$-4B + 4AB - 4rB\frac{\mathcal{F}'}{\mathcal{F}} + 2r^2B'\frac{\mathcal{F}'}{\mathcal{F}} + 2r^2B''\frac{\mathcal{F}'}{\mathcal{F}} + 2r^2B'' - r^2B'\beta + 2Br\beta - \frac{4AB\kappa\eta^2}{\mathcal{F}} = 0.$$
 (25)

We can verify that if we plug $\omega = 0$ into the equation (9) of Ref. 4 and replace $\phi(r)$ by $\mathcal{F}(r)$, the equation (24) is recovered. This emphasizes the equivalence of the metric f(R) gravity with a Brans-Dicke gravity possessing a parameter $\omega = 0$, as pointed out in Ref. 14. Furthermore this fact shows the relevance of working with the fields equations in terms of F(R) when one wants to compare with the results obtained via Brans-Dicke gravity, since the role of the scalar degree of freedom in f(R) gravity is played by the function F(R).

5. Solutions in the Weak Field Regime

Now let us consider the weak field approximation in the field equations by assuming that B(r) = 1 + b(r) and A(r) = 1 + a(r) with |b(r)| and |a(r)| smaller than unity. Furthermore, let us consider that the modification theory of gravity corresponds to a small correction on General Relativity (GR), so that $\mathcal{F}(r) = 1 + \psi(r)$, with $|\psi(r)| << 1$

Adopting these approximations it is possible to verify that up to the first order we may write,

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}'}{\mathcal{F}} = \frac{\psi'}{1+\psi} \approx \psi' , \frac{\mathcal{F}''}{\mathcal{F}} = \frac{\psi''}{1+\psi} \approx \psi'' ,
\frac{B'}{B} = \frac{b'}{1+b} \approx b' , \frac{A'}{A} = \frac{a'}{1+a} \approx a' .$$
(26)

Thus the approximated equations for (21) and (22) read

$$\frac{\beta}{r} = \psi'' \tag{27}$$

and

$$4a - 4r\psi' + 2r(a' + b') - 2r^2b'' - 4(1 + a + b - \psi)\kappa\eta^2 = 0.$$
 (28)

Specific results for the fields equations can be obtained by adopting for $\psi(r)$ a specific Ansatz. Considering the simplest analytical function of the radial coordinate, namely $\psi(r) = \psi_0 r$, we shall have the following result for Eq. (27):

$$\frac{\beta}{r} = 0 , \qquad (29)$$

which means

$$a' + b' = 0 \tag{30}$$

$$a + b = c_0 (31)$$

6 T. R. P. Caramês, E. R. Bezerra de Mello & M. E. X. Guimarães

where c_0 is an integration constant that we choose as $c_0 = 0$. Therefore

$$a(r) = -b(r) . (32)$$

So (28) will be written as

$$\frac{1}{2}r^2b'' - b - r\psi_0 - (1 - \psi_0 r)\kappa\eta^2 = 0 , \qquad (33)$$

whose solution is

$$b(r) = \frac{c_1}{r} + c_2 r^2 - \kappa \eta^2 - \psi_0 r (1 - \kappa \eta^2) , \qquad (34)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are integration constants. Since we are not dealing with a theory with a cosmological constant we may set $c_2 = 0$. Furthermore, we must choose $c_1 = -2GM$ in order to recover the Newtonian potential present in the Barriola and Vilenkin solution. Therefore,

$$B(r) = 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} - 8\pi G \eta^2 - \psi_0 r (1 - 8\pi G \eta^2) , \qquad (35)$$

since $\kappa = 8\pi G$. For a typical Grand Unified Theory the parameter η is of the order 10^{16} Gev. So, $8\pi G\eta^2 \approx 10^{-5}$. This allows us to neglect the term $\psi_0 r \times 8\pi G\eta^2$ in the above result since $|\psi_0 r| << 1$. Then we may write

$$B(r) = 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} - 8\pi G\eta^2 - \psi_0 r . {36}$$

From equation (29) we have

$$A(r) = \frac{a_0}{B(r)} , \qquad (37)$$

where a_0 is an integration constant. Rescaling the time coordinate we can set $a_0 = 1$. Then,

$$A(r) = \left[1 - \frac{2GM}{r} - 8\pi G\eta^2 - \psi_0 r\right]^{-1}$$
 (38)

As previously assumed by Barriola and Vilenkin, here we also drop out the mass term in (36) and (38) as it is negligibly small at astrophysical scale. Thus, we have

$$B(r) = 1 - 8\pi G \eta^2 - \psi_0 r \tag{39}$$

and

$$A(r) = \left(1 - 8\pi G\eta^2 - \psi_0 r\right)^{-1} . \tag{40}$$

Using the weak field approximation in (20) we can obtain the Ricci scalar curvature associated with the solution above:

$$R = -\frac{16\pi G\eta^2}{r^2} - \frac{6\psi_0}{r} \ . \tag{41}$$

From $\mathcal{F}(r)$ and R(r) one can determine, in principle, F(R) and finally f(R). The explicit form obtained for f(R) is given by

$$f(R) = R - 3\psi_0^2 \ln\left(\frac{R}{R_0}\right) - 2\psi_0\sqrt{9\psi_0^2 - 16\pi G\eta^2 R}$$
$$-3\psi_0^2 \ln\left[\frac{\sqrt{9\psi_0^2 - 16\pi G\eta^2 R} - 3\psi_0}{\sqrt{9\psi_0^2 - 16\pi G\eta^2 R} - 3\psi_0}\right] + 3\psi_0^2 \ln\left[\frac{\sqrt{9\psi_0^2 - 16\pi G\eta^2 R} + 3\psi_0}{\sqrt{9\psi_0^2 - 16\pi G\eta^2 R} + 3\psi_0}\right],$$

$$(42)$$

where R_0 is a constant. It is straightforward to verify that if ψ_0 is set to be positive, the function above satisfies the following stability conditions required for any physically relevant f(R) theory: 11-14

- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \frac{d^2f(R)}{dR^2} > 0 \ (\text{no tachyons}); \\ \bullet \ \frac{df(R)}{dR} > 0 \ (\text{no ghosts}); \\ \bullet \ \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\Delta}{R} = 0 \ \text{and} \ \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{d\Delta}{dR} = 0 \ (\text{GR is recovered at early times}), \end{array}$

where $\Delta = \Delta(R)$ is defined as $\Delta = f(R) - R$.

Now we shall show that the line element described by the obtained functions A(r) and B(r) are conformally related to the ordinary global monopole solutions.^a So let us consider the following coordinate transformation:

$$B(r) = p(r^*) \left(1 - 8\pi G \eta^2 \right) , \qquad (43)$$

$$A(r)dr^{2} = p(r^{*}) \left(1 + 8\pi G \eta^{2}\right) (dr^{*})^{2}, \qquad (44)$$

$$r = p^{1/2}(r^*)r^* \,\,\,\,(45)$$

where $h(r^*)$ is an arbitrary function of r^* to be determined, and $h(r^*) = 1 + q(r^*)$ with $|q(r^*)| \ll 1$. Differentiating equation (45) we have

$$dr^{2} = \left(1 + r^{*} \frac{dq}{dr^{*}} + q\right) dr^{*2} . \tag{46}$$

Substituting the above equation into (44) and keeping only linear terms in $q(r^*)$, $\psi_0 r$ and $G\eta^2$, we obtain the following result for $q(r^*)$:

$$q(r^*) = -\psi_0 r^* \ , \tag{47}$$

then

$$p(r^*) = 1 - \psi_0 r^* \ . \tag{48}$$

Thus we can write the line element (2) in the coordinate r^* as follows:

$$ds^{2} = (1 - \psi_{0}r^{*}) \left[\left(1 - 8\pi G \eta^{2} \right) dt^{2} - \left(1 + 8\pi G \eta^{2} \right) dr^{*2} - r^{*2} \left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2} \right) \right].$$
(49)

^aAn analogue procedure has been adopted in Ref. 4.

Rescaling the time coordinate and redefining the radial coordinate as $r = (1 + 4\pi G\eta^2) r^*$, we arrive at the line element below:

$$ds^{2} = (1 - \psi_{0}r) \left[dt^{2} - dr^{2} - (1 - 8\pi G\eta^{2}) r^{2} \left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2} \right) \right] . \tag{50}$$

An important feature arises if we are interested in analyzing the deflection of light in this metric. As it is well known, the deflection angles are always preserved for two metrics related by a conformal transformation. Therefore, the deflection of a light ray by the monopole in the present modified gravity will be the same of that one previously obtained in Refs. 3:

$$\delta\phi = 8\pi G\eta^2 l(d+l)^{-1} , \qquad (51)$$

where d and l are the distances from the monopole to the observer and to the source, respectively. In this analysis it was assumed that the light ray trajectory lies at the equatorial plane $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

6. Conclusions

In this work we have analyzed the gravitational field of a global monopole in the f(R) gravity scenario in the metric formalism. In this formalism, this modified gravity contains a massive scalar degree of freedom in addition to the familiar massless graviton and it turns out to be equivalent to a Brans-Dicke theory. In order to simplify our analysis we have considered solutions in the weak field approximation which implied that we are considering a theory which is as a small correction on GR. The latter condition was explicitly considered by assuming $\mathcal{F}(r) = 1 + \psi_0 r$, for $|\psi_0 r| << 1$.

Following the above mentioned approach, the solutions found by us correspond to small corrections on g_{00} and g_{11} components of the metric tensor, only. Being these new components given in (36) and (38), respectively. From the results obtained, we can observe that also, a small correction on the Ricci scalar, given now by (41), takes place. Moreover, we have also verified that these solutions are conformally related to that one previously obtained by M. Barriola and A. Vilenkin in Ref. 3 as shown in (50), what ensures us that the deflection of light in these two spacetimes will be the same.

Before finishing this section, we would like to point out that by using the weak field approximation, we were able to provide an explicit expression for the function f(R), given in (42). The non-linear function f(R) is not a problem if we consider low curvatures (i.e., late time in the matter dominated era). Also, by assuming that ψ_0 is a positive parameter, we can affirm that f(R) fulfills two important stability conditions, namely, non-tachyons and non-ghosts requirements.

7. Acknowledgments

TRPC thanks CAPES for financial support and Prof. S. Jorás for fruitful discussions and useful suggestions. ERBM and MEXG thank the Conselho Nacional de

Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for partial financial support.

References

- 1. T. W. Kibble, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 9, 1378 (1976)
- 2. A. Vilenkin and E. P. Shellard, Cosmic String and Other Topological Defects (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994).
- 3. M. Barriola and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 341 (1989).
- 4. A. Barros nad C. Romero, Phys. Rev. D 56, 6688 (1997).
- 5. S. M. Carrol, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D 70, 043528
- 6. S. Fay, R. Tavakol and S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. D 74, 063509 (2007).
- 7. M. Ostrogradski, Mem. Ac. St. Petersbourg VI 4, 385 (1850).
- 8. R. P. Woodard, Lect. Notes. Phys. **720**, 403 (2007).
- 9. T. Multamaki and I. Vilja, Phys. Rev. D 74, 064022 (2006).
- 10. T. R. P. Caramês and E. R. B. de Mello, Eur. Phys. J. C 64, 113 (2009).
- 11. L. Pogosian and A. Silvestri, Phys. Rev. D 77, 023503 (2008).
- 12. V. Miranda, S. E. Jors, I. Waga and M. Quartin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 221101 (2009).
- 13. A. D. Dolgov and M. Kawasaki, Phys. Lett. B 573, 1 (2003).
- 14. V. Faraoni, Phys. Rev. D 74, 104017 (2006).